

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Roger W. Whatmore	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,239	(04/02/2002		111677	6315
25944	7590	01/04/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & B		E, PLC	WELLS, KENNETH B		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR		22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2014	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/031,239	WHATMORE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Kenneth B. Wells	2816				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>01 October 2004</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	,				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	·					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/031,239

Art Unit: 2816

1. The 1.116 amendment filed on 10/1/04 has now been entered in the RCE application.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art Fig. 9 in view of Nagai and further in view of either Ishikawa et al or Goto et al.

See paragraph three of the previous office action for the details of this rejection. As to the new limitation that the FBARs have top and bottom electrodes, with the top electrode having a coplanar wave-guide structure, this does not define patentably over applicant's admitted prior art Fig. 9 in view of Nagai when taken with either Ishikawa et al or Goto et al. These two newly cited references each show the well-known FBAR structure having top and bottom electrodes, with the top electrode having a coplanar wave-guide structure. The motivation for using this structure for forming the FBARs of the admitted prior art is simply to obtain the benefits/advantages taught by both Ishikawa et al and Goto et al.

Art Unit: 2816

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached at (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

December 23, 2004